

What is most frustrating about the President's action is that he made another defective foreign policy decision without addressing the very questions that were first raised. House Resolution 80 is the first step to answering questions about the bailout, including who will actually benefit from these loans, what collateral Mexico can use to secure their payments, what economic reforms Mexico will institute to ensure that this does not happen again, and how a Mexican bailout will affect American taxpayers.

I support this important resolution because I will continue to oppose this donation to Mexico, let us call it what it is, until we have appropriate guarantees from their country and until we know everything that the White House knew before the collapse regarding Mexico's economic situation. I take pride in representing my constituents, who are adamantly opposed to this bailout and I resent that the President preempted my opportunity to vote accordingly.

Mr. Speaker, the President supplied so few details when he first asked Congress to bailout Mexico it was as if he wanted the American public to blame Congress for the conception of this poor foreign policy decision. Even after he told the Mexicans that the check was in the mail, President Clinton made little attempt to give Members of Congress the information addressing our concerns and those of our constituents. Well, after today Mr. Speaker when constituents question the bailout, I no longer have to respond to them like school-boy trying to convince the teacher that my dog really did eat my homework. If President Clinton will not volunteer these answers, then we will force him to provide us with the cheat-sheet, because the American people deserve answers.

I urge Members of Congress to support House Resolution 80.

Mr. LEACH. Mr. Speaker, in this context I move the previous question on the committee amendment and on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GOODLATTE). The question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution, as amended.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 407, noes 21, not voting 6, as follows:

[Roll No. 188]

AYES—407

Abercrombie	Baldacci	Bentsen
Ackerman	Ballenger	Bereuter
Allard	Barcia	Bevill
Andrews	Barr	Bilbray
Archer	Barrett (NE)	Bilirakis
Armey	Barrett (WI)	Bishop
Bachus	Bartlett	Bliley
Baesler	Barton	Blute
Baker (CA)	Bass	Boehkert
Baker (LA)	Bateman	Boehner

Bonilla	Franks (NJ)	Longley
Bonior	Frelinghuysen	Lowey
Bono	Frisa	Lucas
Borski	Frost	Luther
Boucher	Funderburk	Maloney
Brewster	Furse	Manton
Browder	Galleghy	Manzullo
Brown (CA)	Ganske	Markey
Brown (FL)	Gejdenson	Martinez
Brown (OH)	Gekas	Martini
Brownback	Geren	Mascara
Bryant (TN)	Gibbons	McCarthy
Bryant (TX)	Gilchrest	McCollum
Bunn	Gillmor	McCrery
Bunning	Gilman	McDade
Burr	Goodlatte	McDermott
Burton	Goodling	McHale
Buyer	Gordon	McHugh
Callahan	Goss	McInnis
Calvert	Graham	McIntosh
Camp	Green	McKeon
Canady	Greenwood	McKinney
Cardin	Gunderson	McNulty
Castle	Gutierrez	Meehan
Chabot	Gutknecht	Meek
Chambliss	Hall (OH)	Menendez
Chapman	Hall (TX)	Metcalf
Chenoweth	Hamilton	Meyers
Christensen	Hancock	Mfume
Chryster	Hansen	Mica
Clay	Harman	Miller (CA)
Clayton	Hastert	Miller (FL)
Clement	Hastings (FL)	Mineta
Clinger	Hastings (WA)	Minge
Clyburn	Hayes	Mink
Coble	Hayworth	Molinari
Coburn	Hefley	Mollohan
Coleman	Hefner	Montgomery
Collins (GA)	Heineman	Moorhead
Collins (IL)	Herger	Morella
Collins (MI)	Hillery	Murtha
Combest	Hilliard	Myers
Condit	Hinchey	Myrick
Cooley	Hobson	Nadler
Costello	Hoekstra	Neal
Cox	Hoke	Nethercutt
Coyne	Holden	Neumann
Cramer	Horn	Ney
Crane	Hostettler	Norwood
Crapo	Houghton	Nussle
Creameans	Hoyer	Oberstar
Cubin	Hutchinson	Obey
Cunningham	Hyde	Olver
Danner	Inglis	Ortiz
Davis	Istook	Orton
Deal	Jackson-Lee	Owens
DeFazio	Jacobs	Oxley
DeLauro	Jefferson	Packard
DeLay	Johnson (CT)	Pallone
Dellums	Johnson (SD)	Parker
Deutsch	Johnson, Sam	Paxon
Diaz-Balart	Johnston	Payne (NJ)
Dickey	Jones	Payne (VA)
Dicks	Kanjorski	Pelosi
Dingell	Kaptur	Peterson (FL)
Doggett	Kasich	Petri
Doolittle	Kelly	Pickett
Dornan	Kennedy (MA)	Pombo
Doyle	Kennedy (RI)	Pomeroy
Dreier	Kennelly	Porter
Duncan	Kildee	Portman
Dunn	Kim	Poshard
Durbin	King	Pryce
Edwards	Kingston	Quillen
Ehlers	Klecza	Quinn
Ehrlich	Klink	Radanovich
Emerson	Klug	Rahall
Engel	Knollenberg	Ramstad
English	Kolbe	Reed
Ensign	LaFalce	Regula
Eshoo	LaHood	Reynolds
Evans	Lantos	Riggs
Everett	Largent	Rivers
Ewing	Latham	Roberts
Farr	LaTourette	Roemer
Fattah	Laughlin	Rogers
Fawell	Lazio	Rohrabacher
Fazio	Leach	Ros-Lehtinen
Fields (LA)	Levin	Rose
Fields (TX)	Lewis (CA)	Roth
Filner	Lewis (GA)	Roukema
Flake	Lewis (KY)	Royce
Flanagan	Lightfoot	Sabo
Foglietta	Lincoln	Salmon
Foley	Linder	Sanders
Forbes	Lipinski	Sanford
Fowler	Livingston	Sawyer
Fox	LoBiondo	Saxton
Franks (CT)	Lofgren	Scarborough

Schaefer	Stockman	Volkmer
Schiff	Stokes	Vucanovich
Schroeder	Studds	Waldholtz
Schumer	Stump	Walker
Scott	Stupak	Walsh
Seastrand	Talent	Wamp
Sensenbrenner	Tanner	Ward
Shadegg	Tate	Watts (OK)
Shaw	Tauzin	Waxman
Shays	Taylor (MS)	Weldon (FL)
Shuster	Taylor (NC)	Weldon (PA)
Sisisky	Tejeda	Weller
Skaggs	Thomas	White
Skeen	Thompson	Whitfield
Skelton	Thornberry	Wicker
Slaughter	Thornton	Williams
Smith (MI)	Thurman	Wilson
Smith (NJ)	Tiahrt	Wise
Smith (TX)	Torkildsen	Wolf
Smith (WA)	Torricelli	Woolsey
Solomon	Towns	Wyden
Souder	Trafficant	Wynn
Spence	Tucker	Young (AK)
Spratt	Upton	Young (FL)
Stark	Velazquez	Zeliff
Stearns	Vento	Zimmer
Stenholm	Visclosky	

NOES—21

Becerra	Frank (MA)	Richardson
Beilenson	Gephardt	Roybal-Allard
Berman	Johnson, E. B.	Serrano
Conyers	Matsui	Torres
de la Garza	Moran	Waters
Dixon	Pastor	Watt (NC)
Ford	Rangel	Yates

NOT VOTING—6

Dooley	Hunter	Peterson (MN)
Gonzalez	Moakley	Rush

□ 1944

Mr. RANGEL, Mr. RICHARDSON, Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, Mr. BEILENSON, and Mr. MATSUI changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Mr. FAZIO changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERMISSION FOR CERTAIN COMMITTEES TO SIT TOMORROW, THURSDAY, MARCH 2, 1995, DURING 5-MINUTE RULE

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the following committees and their subcommittees be permitted to sit tomorrow while the House is meeting in the Committee of the Whole House under the 5-minute rule.

The Committee on Banking and Financial Services; the Committee on Economic and Educational Opportunities; the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight; the Committee on International Relations; the Committee on National Security; the Committee on Resources; the Committee on Science; the Committee on Small Business; and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

It is my understanding that the minority has been consulted and that there is no objection to these requests.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GOODLATTE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

PROCEEDING WITH GENERAL DEBATE PENDING A VOTE ON HOUSE RESOLUTION 101

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the House may proceed to general debate in the Committee of the Whole as though under House Resolution 101 during any postponement of proceedings on that resolution pursuant to clause 5 of rule I.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I will not object, but I ask the gentleman from Texas if this means that this will be the last recorded vote for this evening?

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman did get the attention of the body. Yes, without objection to this unanimous consent, we will have had our last vote for the evening. However, that would mean that those Members interested in the debate on the rule and on the general debate for the bill, H.R. 925, private property, should be advised that we would be holding those two debates yet this evening. Any Member not participating in either of those two debates would be free to go home for the evening. We would begin them tomorrow, as soon as the 1-minute is over, with the vote on the rule, which is House Resolution 101.

Let me say, again, it is an unusual request. It is an unusual procedure, not something that we would expect to be a habit in the future. But certainly it is something that by the minority's agreement, we were able to do so folks can get home tonight. We will then begin with a vote on the rule tomorrow, and I would remind Members who want to participate either on the debate on the rule or H.R. 925, the private property bill, that those debates will take place tonight.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 2

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to remove my name as a cosponsor of the joint resolution, House Joint Resolution 2.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION 2

Mr. MCINTOSH. Mr. Speaker, as the language of joint resolution, House Joint Resolution 2 has been substantially altered in markup, I ask unanimous consent to have my name removed as a cosponsor of the legislation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

PRIVATE PROPERTY PROTECTION ACT OF 1995

Mrs. WALDHOLTZ. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 101 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 101

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 1(b) of rule XXIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 925) to compensate owners of private property for the effect of certain regulatory restrictions. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. Points of order against consideration of the bill for failure to comply with section 302(f), 308(a), 311(a), or 401(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 are waived. General debate shall be confined to the bill and the amendment recommended by the Committee on the Judiciary and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule for a period not to exceed twelve hours. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on the Judiciary now printed in the bill. The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. Points of order against the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute for failure to comply with clause 7 of rule XVI, clause 5(a) of rule XXI, or section 302(f), 311(a), or 401(b) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 are waived. No amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order unless printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 6 of rule XXIII before the beginning of consideration of the bill for amendment. Amendments so printed shall be considered as read. Points of order against the amendment specified in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution to be offered by Representative Canady of Florida or a designee for failure to comply with clause 5(a) of rule XXI are waived. Pending the consideration of that amendment and before the consideration of any other amendment, it shall be in order to consider the amendment thereto specified in the report of the Committee on Rules to be offered by Representative Tauzin of Louisiana or a designee. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any

amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

SEC. 2. After passage of H.R. 925, it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 9) to create jobs, enhance wages, strengthen property rights, maintain certain economic liberties, decentralize and reduce the power of the Federal Government with respect to the States, localities, and citizens of the United States, and to increase the accountability of Federal officials. All points of order against the bill and against its consideration are waived. It shall be in order to move to strike all after section 1 of the bill and insert a text composed of four divisions as follows: (1) division A, consisting of the text of H.R. 830, as passed by the House; (2) division B, consisting of the text of H.R. 925, as passed by the House; (3) division C, consisting of the text of H.R. 926, as passed by the House; and (4) division D, consisting of the text of H.R. 1022, as passed by the House. All points of order against that motion are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to amend and on the bill to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Utah [Mrs. WALDHOLTZ] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mrs. WALDHOLTZ. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from California [Mr. BEILENSEN], pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume.

During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as she may consume to the gentlewoman from Ohio [Ms. PRYCE].

(Ms. PRYCE asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. PRYCE. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the rule.

Mr. Speaker, as my distinguished colleague from Utah ably explained in her opening remarks, this rule provides for the fair and orderly consideration of one of the most significant regulatory reform proposals to be debated on the House floor in recent memory, and that is the fundamental idea of compensating private property owners when the use of their property is limited by over-reaching Federal regulations.

This is a very complex issue, Mr. Speaker, and the legislation before us has understandably prompted legitimate concerns about the future of Federal rulemaking. To afford Members ample opportunity to discuss changes in the bill, this rule provides for 1 hour of general debate, followed by up to 12 hours of amendment under the 5-minute rule.

While I know the minority would prefer to have unlimited debate on this legislation, I am confident that the rule provides the minority with an ample block of time to manage as they see fit in order to organize and prioritize amendments they would bring to the House floor.

The rule also enables the House to consider two very important amendments. First, in the